

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/802,472

Applicant(s)

EINAT ET AL.

Examiner

Young J. Kim

Art Unit

1637

All Participants:

(1) Young J. Kim.

(2) Roger Browdy.

Status of Application: After-Final Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2005

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

YOUNG J. KIM

PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Browdy was advised that After-Final amendment was received and that the sequence was being searched in the interference database. Mr. Browdy was also inquired for amending claim 17 through Examiner Amendment, so as to facilitate allowance of the application.



YOUNG J. KIM
PATENT EXAMINER

12-14-05